Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIATACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MP VA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I mige you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Miki Vuckovich 3496 Mt. Aachen AVE San Diego, CA 92111

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Ioshua Hilliard 1411 Rockhurst RD, Box 194 Kansas City, MO 64110

Commissioner Michael J Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I watch television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying expensive digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later, send an email clip of my child's activities to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD or VHS tape and play it at my friend's house. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I demand.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new, expensive, digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a taxpaying citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Robert W Badeaux 10407 Cutting Horse Lane Houston, TX 77064

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

lan Smith 245 Donna Ave Winston Salem, NC 27101 Thinsday October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-42th Street NW Washington DC 20554

VIVIACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I mige the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag," I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its ailies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later; clip a small piece of IV and splice it into a home movie; or record a IV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A nicer TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sinccrely

Jav Jacot 1750 Green Valley Road Danville (A 94526

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 44 ; 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIVIACSIMBLE

Dear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I trige the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content—I can modify, create, and participate. I can record IV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's tootball game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's again timent. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Stephanic Kirkpatrick 2(4-C Vester Oaks Way E. Greensboro, NC 27455

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Jim Gerow 72 Crestwood Dr Framingham, MA 01701

Commissioner Michael J. Copps H* 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIVIACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am very concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television As a consumer of broadcast television, electionics, and computer products. I urge the Federal

MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making me buy special-purpose DTV devices that displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution buying digital television equipment. That fransition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer are more expensive and less valuable The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and

movie, send an email clip of my activities to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD Herability that I currently enjoy and play it at my friend's home. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and participate I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content - I can modify, create, and ham, moreover very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's

equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current mge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital It the move to digital felevision does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable

Sincerely,

Paul Bond 636 W 7th St Line, PA 16502

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag" I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Byron Lee PO Box 665 Macomb, IL 61455

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIATACSIMILE

De it Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling teason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment. A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a crtizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Michael Dawson 4400 NW 94th Terrace Fort Lauderdale, FL 33351

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW v/Shington DC 20554

JA FACSIMILE

ப்சசு Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag". I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching luasn't mean disparding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for let another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's experiment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV cicruite is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

To add to the prepared statement. I think it is unfair to punish people like myself that honor and respect copyrights because some people do not. I feel there are better ways to protect both honest citizens and thick-wood that don't infringe on established fair use laws.

Sincerely

Ken Cleary • 017 Durham Rd Royal Oak MI 48073

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 145-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIALACSIMILI

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to lunder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fautuse implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I mise you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Aaron Klein 16788 Placer Hills Road, Ste A Meadow Vista, CA 95722

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 44) 12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

THINISOLIVIT

Dear Commissioner Copps.

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

digital felevision equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yel another device in my hying room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable. the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying

apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjov child's lootball game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can In addition, Lam very concerned about the fan-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's

opposing the broadcast flag. equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by patine is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to bijv new digital equipment? A prettier TV If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and

Sincerely,

Variene Jeffers 1910 Last Main Hutchinson AS 67502 Umrsday October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington, DC 20554

VIVI VESIMILE

Ося Commissioner Copps.

You will regret this decision when you look back on it years down the road. Please don't give us "another" reason to despise government!

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record IV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Smeetels

Tiki Archambeau 36 Crombie St. #2 Burlington AT 05401

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street: NW Washington: DC 20554

VIA FACS.MILE

De il Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room to the vest another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

no addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. Lurge you to promote the digital transition by apposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely

Zane Burton 15300 D Segovia Apt 2005 Dallas Tx Fallas TX 75248

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With loday's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag

Sincerely,

Steve Rogers 6076 Glenn Trace West Chester, OH 45069 October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael 。 Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

an writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate. I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely

Robert Wilde 4682 Corte Mar Asombrosa San Diego CA 92130 USA Hiursday, October 23 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIATACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DFV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an small clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prefirer TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely.

Mark Salzman 1208 NL 167th Ave. Vancouver, WA Vancouver, WA 98684

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Jason Shank 119 nebraska dr lower burrell pa New Kensington, PA 15068

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIATACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer it switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, chip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that Lenjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I mgc vou to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Keith Trussell 10865 Bluffside Dr →209 Studio City, CA 91604

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Tear Commissioner Copps

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products. Lurge the Federal communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." Lam gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way Lenjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition to making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition. Lam very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's recinology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my thild's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by the broadcast flag.

sin sereily

5 M FRANZ C4367 CR 15-75 Bryan OH 43506

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and briging digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast tlad.

Sincerely,

Karen Wiemers 919 North Tenth Street Marysville, KS 66508

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag " I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content — I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

William A Boeck 6568 West 81st Street Los Angeles, CA 90045

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street NW Washington DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps,

am writing to urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast day," I believe that such a protocol will lead to less usability on the part of viewers

The current plan seems to be based in part on moving viewers to the newer HDTV system, but why would use move to such a system when the proposed flag will mean possibly buying additional equipment for less service than I prosently have? Worse, such a flag would seem to interfere with current "fair usage" rights

finhe move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by appearing the broadcast flag. I feel strongly about this and will be watching the outcome of the vote

Aith concern Saundra Foderick adjunct instructor Argosy University

Sincerely

Soundra Foderick county 45 Zimmerman, MN 55398

Commissioner Michael J. Copps 445-12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

VINTACSIMILE

Dear Commissioner Copps.

concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag" I am gravely As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal

displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network buying new high-resolution are more expensive and less valuable MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer the digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and

control and flexibility that I enjoy onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this movie, send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative, or record a TV program participate. I can record TV to watch later, clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home technology. I can be more than a passive recipient of content - I can modify, create, and In addition. I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's

equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television. I If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable

Sincerely.

Patrick Martin 285 Freeilhower Drive Orwigsburg, PA 17961